NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING OF THE ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION AND

AGENDA

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and to the general public that the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission will hold a meeting open to the public on Thursday, May 25, 2006 beginning at 1:30 p.m. at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

I. Call to Order and Roll Call Vice Chairperson Carter Olson

II. Minutes of the March 30, 2006 Meeting

Approval of Minutes

P-F-T

III. Executive Director's Report

John A. Blackburn, Jr.

A. Staff Update and Programs Review

Info

B. Discussion of meeting schedules

Info

C. Presentation of legislative issues for the 2006 session

Info

IV. Byrne/JAG Formula Grant Program

Kathy Karam

Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the Byrne
Justice Assistance Grant Cycle 20 Awards.

P-F-T

V. Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program Kathy Karam

 Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on proposal for FY2007 RSAT grant awards.
 P-F-T

VI. Special Prosecution Grants

Kathy Karam

- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
- **A.** Aggravated Domestic Violence Prosecution, Obscenity Prosecution and Sexual Exploitation of Children Investigation/Prosecution Grant Awards

P-F-T

B. Gang Prosecution Grant Awards

P-F-T

VII. Crime Victim Compensation Program Rules

Tony Vidale

Legal advice regarding Crime Victim Compensation eligibility

VIII. Crime Victim Compensation Program

Tony Vidale

- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
- **A.** Designation of Operational Units

P-F-T

B. FY07 Program Budget

P-F-T

C. FY07 Program Allocations

P-F-T

D. Redistribution of FY06 state Victim Compensation Funds

P-F-T

IX. Crime Victim Assistance Program

- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
- A. Consideration of La Paz County and Santa Cruz County Victim

 Assistance Grant Applications

 P-F-T
- B. FY07 Criminal Justice Government Agencies Grant Awards P-F-T
- C. FY07 Nonprofit and other Governmental Agencies Grant Awards

P-F-T

X. Call to the Public

Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

XI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

 The next Commission meeting will be held on Thursday, July 13, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. at the POW WOW, Little America, Flagstaff, AZ.

XII. Adjournment

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	Minutes of the March 30, 2006 Meeting
TO: Chairperson and	Commission Members	
FROM: John A. Blackbu Executive Direct		
RECOMMENDATION:		
	n approve the minutes of the Ari eting held on March 30, 2006.	zona Criminal Justice
DISCUSSION:		
N/A		
FISCAL IMPACT:		
N/A		

ALTERNATIVES:

Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Minutes March 30, 2006

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 30, 2006 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Members Present:

J.T. McCann, Chairperson, Chief, Flagstaff Police Department

Robert Carter Olson, Vice-Chairperson, Pinal County Attorney

Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Jesse Locksa representing

Duane Belcher, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency

David Byers, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts, Ron Lubitz representing

Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, George Heaney representing

Terry Goddard, Attorney General, Tim Black representing

Daniel Hughes, Chief, Surprise Police Department

Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, via conference call

Richard Miranda, Chief, Tucson Police Department, Kermit Miller representing

Dora Schriro, Director, Department of Corrections, Gary Phelps representing

Linda K. Scott, Former Judge

Andrew P. Thomas, Maricopa County Attorney, Phil MacDonnell representing

Roger Vanderpool, Department of Public Safety, Georgene Ramming representing

Legal Counsel Present:

Nancy Beck, Assistant Attorney General

Members Absent:

Tony Estrada, Santa Cruz County Sheriff Tommie Cline Martin, Gila County Supervisor Ralph Ogden, Yuma County Sheriff

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director Mary Marshall, Public Information Officer Kathy Karam, Program Manager Pat Nelson, Program Manager Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson J.T. McCann at 1:35 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the January 26, 2006 Meeting

Chairperson J.T. McCann called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner Carter Olson entered a motion to approve the minutes of the January 26, 2006 meeting. The motion seconded by Designee George Heaney passed unanimously.

III. Executive Director's Report

A. Staff Update

Director Blackburn introduced Debby Finkel, Grants Program Coordinator supporting the Criminal Justice Systems Improvement Program and Craig Albasri-Cox, Web Developer supporting the Information Technology Program as new staff to the Commission. This item was for information only.

B. May Meeting Date Change

Director Blackburn informed the Commission of the May meeting in Tucson and that staff will provide additional information for the meeting place. This item was for information only.

C. Strategic/Sunset Planning Meeting at PowWow

Director Blackburn advised that every state agency has a "sunset date" that allows the legislature to review the agency's effectiveness. Director Blackburn informed the Commission

of planning and review sessions to take place in July at Pow Wow and again in November to discuss what the sunset review will consist of, how ACJC has evolved since its inception, the direction and vision of the Commission and recommendations from the legislature to begin the process for 2007. This item was for information only.

D. Legislative Update

Mary Marshall, Public Information Officer updated the Commissioners on state and federal issues. At the state level, ACJC sought sponsorship on Senate bill 1038 which allows ACJC to enter into grant agreements enforceable in arbitration. On the federal level, Arizona lawmakers continue to work on federal funding for programs since Byrne/JAG has again been targeted for elimination. Ms. Marshall discussed how ACJC is developing a webpage to post success stories to show how federal monies from Byrne/JAG and RSAT programs are utilized successfully in Arizona communities across the state. This item was for information only.

E. Grants Update

Kathy Karam, Program Manager updated the Commissioners on the Byrne/JAG grants. The grant solicitations opened on March 3, 2006 and will close April 14, 2006. The evaluation team will meet on April 19, 2006 to consider the following criteria in addition to the application score. Continuing and former grantees will be evaluated on such factors as: 1.) Timely reporting; 2.) Previous goals and objectives; 3.) Program deficiencies; and 4.) Overall cost of doing business. Staff will present options to the Drug Committee and the Commission at the May meeting. This item was for information only.

IV. Special Prosecution Grants

- A. Kathy Karam, Program Manager presented a brief history on the Enhanced Gang Prosecution funds. Staff recommended the manner in which the Commission awards the funds be reviewed and consideration be given to a competitive process that places the limited dollars where they are needed yet meets the original legislative intent. Designee Jesse Locksa entered a motion that the Commission approve the following motion: 1.) To eliminate the requirement of distributing Gang Prosecution funds based on a formula by designating the Street Gang Enforcement revolving fund as the funds cut in FY 2002; and 2.) To change the Gang Prosecution grant to a competitive application process. The motion seconded by Commissioner Carter Olson passed unanimously.
- **B.** Kathy Karam, Program Manager discussed how ACJC has awarded Special Prosecution Grants (Aggravated Domestic Violence Prosecution; Gang Prosecution; Obscenity Prosecution and Sexual Exploitation of Children Investigation/Prosecution) based on the original legislation. Staff recommends combining the Special Prosecution funds and allowing the County Attorney Offices to apply for the funds on a competitive basis under the four categories. The Commission reviewed and discussed various options. Commissioner Carter Olson addressed concerns regarding the possible disadvantage smaller counties would face in competing with larger counties in these specialized areas. Larger counties are usually awarded a higher percentage of the grant funds; thus leaving less to be allocated to smaller jurisdictions. Designee Jesse Locksa entered a motion that the Commission approve combining funding for Aggravated Domestic Violence Prosecution, Obscenity Prosecution and Sexual Exploitation of Children grants in the amount of \$391,000 under a competitive application process; and that the Gang Prosecution grant be in the amount of \$603,200 and awarded under a competitive application process. The motion seconded by Commissioner Barbara LaWall passed with one dissenting vote.

V. Arizona ICJIS Plan-Background Information

Pat Nelson, Program Manager summarized the preliminary findings and implementation of the IBM study that was completed in March 2002 for the Arizona ICJIS Strategic Plan. The preliminary findings were Governance, Standards and Policy. ACJC adopted standards in November 2003, provided GJXDM/XML Standards XML Training in 2004 and developed eCitation and Booking Schema Projects. Policy included driving standards to the state, criminal justice specific, deciding what needs to be done to achieve integration, and technical committees determining how to implement. The Policy Team was established to direct and support approved strategies for statewide policy development and deployment of strategic initiatives. This item was for information only.

VI. Executive Steering Committee for Integration and Information, Technology and Systems Improvement Committee Recommendation

Pat Nelson, Program Manager reviewed the Arizona Integrated Criminal Justice Plan (AZ ICJIS) strategic plan and development of a funding support model. Ms. Nelson discussed utilization of the grant funded records integration project requirements as a means to insure success by requiring project scope and specific milestones are maintained during project development. Ms. Nelson presented the recommendations from the Executive Steering Committee and Information, Technology and Systems Improvement Committee. The recommendations included the strategic direction for integration projects in the state, the funding strategies to address development and on-going support of projects, and the grant funded records integration project requirements for project development.

Commissioner Dan Hughes entered a motion that the Commission approve the strategic plan, funding proposal and project requirements recommended by the Executive Steering Committee and Information Technology Committee. The motion seconded by Commissioner Duane Belcher passed unanimously.

VII. Call to the Public

Chairperson McCann made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

VIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held in May in Tucson with the date, time and location to be communicated by staff.

IX. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned 2:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

John A. Blackburn, Jr. Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	☐ Formal Action/Motion☐ Information Only☐ Other	Executive Director's Report
TO: Chairperson and	Commission Members	
FROM: John A. Blackbur Executive Directo	•	
RECOMMENDATION:		
Information Onl	y.	
DISCUSSION:		
Director John A.	Blackburn, Jr. will discuss staff upda	ates and programs.
FISCAL IMPACT:		
N/A		
ALTERNATIVES:		
N/A		

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	☐ Formal Action/Motion☐ Information Only☐ Other	Executive Director's Report
TO: Chairperson an	d Commission Members	
FROM: John A. Blackbu Executive Direc	-	
RECOMMENDATION:		
Information On	ıly.	
DISCUSSION:		
	A. Blackburn, Jr. will discuss t eetings and possibly an annual cor	
FISCAL IMPACT:		
N/A		
ALTERNATIVES:		
N/A		

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	☐ Formal Action/Motion☐ Information Only☐ Other	Executive Director's Report
TO: Chairperson and	Commission Members	
FROM: John A. Blackbu Executive Direct		
RECOMMENDATION:		
Information On	ly.	
DISCUSSION:		
Director John A. issues for the 20	Blackburn, Jr. will update the Co 006 session.	ommission on the legislative
FISCAL IMPACT:		
N/A		
ALTERNATIVES:		
N/A		

IV

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:			
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	Allocation of Cycle 20 Byrne/JAG Formula Grant Funds for FY 2007			

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Kathy Karam, Program Manager

Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission award \$12,041,555 in federal, state and local cash match funds for Cycle 20 as shown in Table #1 for the period beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007.

DISCUSSION:

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission. See attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

2007 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Awards (Byrne/Jag)

On March 3, 2006 the Commission solicited applications from criminal justice agencies throughout Arizona to apply for Cycle 20 funding under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance (Byrne/Jag) Grant for the 12-month period beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007. The deadline for the applications was Friday, April 14, 2006. Thirty-six applications were submitted requesting a total of \$17,109,677. All are continuing applicants except for Yuma PD who has changed hosting agencies to Yuma SO and has been reconstituted. Some agencies who previously received all their funds from ACJC will continue to fund their programs in part with the 40% direct Byrne/Jag funds for fiscal year 2007.

The applications were evaluated pursuant to A.R.S. §41-2702 and scored by three staff members. Scoring was based on the criteria and priorities published in the grant solicitation, these included the following:

- Identification of the drug and related gang and violent crime problem in the community, using justifiable local statistical data
- Explanation of how the agency will plan, organize, staff, direct, and use resources
- Discussion on the extent to which the activities will help the state meet the goals of Arizona's 2004-2007 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Strategy
- Discussion on community drug/gang education and/or prevention program participation by project staff members
- Discussion on the coordination with the Methamphetamine Initiative Grant and the 40% direct Byrne/JAG funds
- Discussion on the project sustainability plan
- Are goals, objectives and timelines reasonable and achievable
- Description of how performance measures will be documented
- Budget analysis, is it reasonable and allowable

In addition, applicant's performance was reviewed and scored based on desired outcome. This allowed low scoring applications to receive points if they were good performers. With assistance from the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) the following factors were included in evaluating the performance of the projects:

Task Forces

- Increase in arrests from FY04 to 05
- Cost per arrest below the median
- Cost per asset seized below the median
- Cost per drug seized based on the last available two year average below the median

Tandem Prosecution

- Increase in concluded cases from FY 04 to 05
- Cost per concluded case below the median
- Conviction rate above the median of 86%
- Increase in asset forfeiture from FY 04 to 05
- Cost per asset forfeiture below the median
- Asset forfeiture conclusions based on the last available two year average below the median

Detention

- Increase in jail detainees from FY 04 to 05. (Staff will consider adding elements for the FY 08 evaluations)
- Forensics

- Increase in analyses from FY 04 to 05
- Increase in productivity from FY 04 to 05
- Cost per analyses decrease from FY04 to 05
- Average turn-around time decrease from FY 04 to 05 Adjudication
- Increase in productivity from FY 04 to 05. (Staff will consider adding elements for the FY 08 evaluations)

The 40% direct Byrne/Jag applications submitted to the Department of Justice were reviewed. However due to the proposed funding reductions, the 40% direct Byrne/Jag grant and the Methamphetamine Initiative Grant were not used to determine funding. Cochise, Mohave, Yavapai Counties and the City of Phoenix will use the funds to supplement the grant funds received from ACJC. Other Counties have obligated these funds to be used for other projects.

Staff is proposing an option that combines points for the application as well as performance evaluation. The projects are ranked based on points high to low. The Cycle 20 program size was reduced due to a reduction in federal funds; therefore, the higher ranked projects may receive funding equal to or slightly less than they received in Cycle 19. The lower ranked projects will receive a reduced amount as shown in Table #1.

Staff proposes that the Committee recommend to the Commission to award \$12,041,555 in Cycle 20 awards as shown in Table #1 for grant awards beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007.

SUMMARY OF CYCLE 20 GRANT REQUESTS AND PROPOSED AWARDS

TABLE # 1

	\$12,041,555		Cycle 18 or			Proposed	
Rank	Total Amount Available	Score	Cycle 19 Awards	Cycle 20 Requests	% reduction	Cycle 20 Awards	% of Program
Naiik	APPREHENSION:	30016	Awaius	Requests	reduction	Awarus	37.90%
1	Tucson P.D. (CNA)	147	894,000	1,094,140	0%	894,000	
2	Pinal County S.O.	144	209,000	236,835	0%	236,835	
3	Santa Cruz Co. S.O. (METRO)	139	248,760	257,521	0%	248,760	
4	Kingman P.D. (MANTIS)	127	388,000	635,470	-5%	369,600	
4	Phoenix P.D.	127	0	325,087	-5%	309,000	
6	Yuma S.O.	126	0	237,373	-5%	226,100	
7	Apache County S.O. (ACCENT)	116	295,000	395,909	-10%	265,500	
8	La Paz County S.O.	115	142,000	181,440	-10%	127,800	
9	Cochise County S.O. (BAG)	114	234,480	262,249	-10%	211,100	
10	Maricopa County S.O.(MCNNET)	113	412,000	428,494	-10%	370,800	
11	Navajo County S.O.	111	280,000	353,423	-10%	252,000	
12	Flagstaff P.D. (NAZ METRO)	109	326,000	436,066	-15%	277,100	
13	Gila County S.O.	103	371,000	540,200	-15%	315,400	
14	Graham County S.O. (SE AZ)	101	32,000	32,000	-15%	27,200	
15	Sedona P.D (PANT)	100	474,000	782,677	-15%	402,900	
16	Greenlee County S.O.	97	37,000	89,444	-20%	29,600	
	PROSECUTION:						38.11%
1	Pima CA	143	558,000	890,596	0%	558,000	30.1176
2	Maricopa CA	137	1,615,000	1,990,749	-5%	1,535,000	
3	Yuma C.A.	122	353,000	415,158	-10%	317,700	
4	Mohave CA	119	235,000	381,197	-15%	200,000	
5	AZ AG	109	1,024,000	1,518,896	-20%	819,200	
5	La Paz CA	109	85,000	110,700	-20%	68,000	
7	Yavapai C.A.	106	84,957	122,698	-20%	68,000	
8	Pinal CA	105	218,000	423,121	-20%	174,400	
8	Tucson C.P.	105	388,000	407,396	-20%	310,400	
10	Navajo C.A.	104	133,000	157,608	-20%	106,400	
11	Santa Cruz C.A.	102	58,000	68,618	-25%	43,500	
12	Apache CA	101	101,000	103,358	-25%	75,800	
12	Cochise C.A.	101	185,000	217,048	-25%	139,000	
12	Gila C.A.	101	76,000	103,912	-25%	57,000	
15	Coconino CA	94	166,000	232,009	-30%	116,200	
	DETENTION:						0.24%
	Coconino County Jail District	83	38,000	54,911	-25%	28,500	
	FORFUGIO						
4	FORENSICS:	10/	E1/ 000	751 777	F0/	400.000	4.72%
1	AZ D.P.S.	126 110	516,000 54,000	751,777 94,169	-5% -10%	490,200	
3	Tucson P.D. Phoenix P.D.	82	0	39,428	-10%	48,600 29,570	
3	THOCHIAT.D.	UZ	U	37,720	ZJ /0	23,310	
	ADJUDICATION:						
	A.O.C.	113	2,738,000		-16%	2,292,390	

TOTAL ALL PROGRAMS:

2,041,555

Phoenix PD, Pinal SO, and Yuma SO were calculated using the request rather than Cycle 19 award. Yavapai CA calculated on Cycle 18. Phoenix was not funded in Cycle 19, Pinal SO and Yavapai CA was reduced due to 40 % Byrne/JAG Funding in Cycle 19. Yuma SO request is less than Yuma PD Cycle 19 award. In addition, performance is based on Yuma PD.

EDWARD BYRNE DRUG, GANG & VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL GRANT REQUESTS - CYCLE 20

TABLE #2				,	37 II TO G									
	Posi	itions		Salary		Consult./		Out of				Total	Total	% of
Applicant				& Fringe	Overtime	Con-	In State	State	Confid.	Oper.		Cycle 20	Cyle 19	Increase/
Agency:	FTE	PTE	Salaries	Benefits	Expense	tractual	Travel	Travel	Funds	Expense	Equipt.	Requested	Awards	Decrease
APPREHENSION:														
Apache County S.O.(ACCENT)	5	0	315,822	315,822	21,347	0	17,040	0	0	41,700	0	395,909	295,000	34.21%
Cochise County S.O.(BAG)	1	0	30,459	30,459	141,305	0	5,250	5,250	42,000	20,970	17,015	262,249	234,480	11.84%
Flagstaff P.D. (N AZ METRO)	5	0	352,760	352,760	29,926	0	3,000	4,800	25,000	20,580	0	436,066	326,000	33.76%
Gila County S.O.	8	0	461,700	461,700	0	0	10,000	5,000	45,000	18,500	0	540,200	371,000	45.61%
Graham County S.O. (SE AZ)	0	0	0	0	32,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,000	32,000	0.00%
Greenlee County S.D.	1	0	39,814	39,814	41,130	0	2,000	5,000	0	1,500	0	89,444	37,000	141.74%
Kingman P.D. (MAGNET)	6	0	399,176	399,176	95,279	0	22,950	18,525	0	99,540	0	635,470	388,000	63.78%
La Paz County S.O.	4	0	181,440	181,440	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	181,440	142,000	27.77%
Maricopa County S.O. (MCNET)	6	0	390,280	390,280	38,214	0	0	0	0	0	0	428,494	412,000	4.00%
Navajo County S.O.	6	0	335,625	335,625	17,130	0	668	0	0	0	0	353,423	280,000	26.22%
Phoenix P.D.	0	5	230,824	230,824	70,208	0	0	7,380	0	16,675	0	325,087	-	100.00%
Pinal County S.O.	3	0	176,289	176,289	0	0	0	5,379	15,000	40,167	0	236,835	209,000	13.32%
Santa Cruz Co. S.O. (METRO)	4	0	174,684	174,684	31,900	0	0	0	1,000	49,937	0	257,521	248,760	3.52%
Sedona P.D (PANT)	10	0	661,685	661,685	76,820	0	0	0	0	44,172	0	782,677	474,000	65.12%
Tucson P.D. (CNA)	15	0	992,556	992,556	101,584	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,094,140	894,000	22.39%
Yuma S.O. (YCNTF)	4	0	236,548	236,548	0	0	0	0	0	825	0	237,373	263,000	-9.74%
Sub-Total	78	5	4,979,662	4,979,662	696,843	-	60,908	51,334	128,000	354,566	17,015	6,288,328	4,606,240	
PROSECUTION:														
Apache C.A.	1	1	103,358	103,358	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103,358	101,000	2.33%
AZ A.G.	17	0	1,518,896	1,518,896	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,518,896	1,024,000	48.33%
Cochise C.A.	3	0	217,048	217,048	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	217,048	185,000	17.32%
Coconino C.A.	4	0	232,009	232,009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	232,009	166,000	39.76%
Gila C.A.	1	1	103,912	103,912	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103,912	76,000	36.73%
La Paz C.A.	2	0	109,500	109,500	0	0	1,200	0	0	0	0	110,700	85,000	30.24%
Maricopa C.A.	23	0	1,990,749	1,990,749	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,990,749	1,615,000	23.27%
Mohave C.A.	5	0	381,197	381,197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	381,197	235,000	62.21%
Navajo C.A.	3	1	157,608	157,608	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	157,608	133,000	18.50%
Pima C.A.	13	0	890,596	890,596	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	890,596	558,000	59.61%
Pinal C.A.	5	0	409,534	409,534	0	0	2,082	2,505	0	9,000	0	423,121	218,000	94.09%
Santa Cruz C.A.	1	0	68,618	68,618	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68,618	58,000	18.31%
Tucson C.P.	5	1	390,234	390,234	0	0	0	0	0	17,162	0	407,396	388,000	5.00%
Yavapai C.A.	2	0	122,698	122,698	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,698	46,000	166.73%
Yuma C.A.	6	0	415,158	415,158	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	415,158	353,000	17.61%
Sub-Total	91	4	7,111,115	7,111,115	0	0	3,282	2,505	0	26,162	0	7,143,064	5,241,000	<u></u>

EDWARD BYRNE DRUG, GANG & VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL GRANT REQUESTS - CYCLE 20

TABLE #2														
	Posi	tions		Salary		Consult./		Out of				Total	Total	% of
Applicant				& Fringe	Overtime	Con-	In State	State	Confid.	Oper.		Cycle 20	Cyle 19	Increase/
Agency:	FTE	PTE	Salaries	Benefits	Expense	tractual	Travel	Travel	Funds	Expense	Equipt.	Requested	Awards	Decrease
DETENTION:	•	•								•				
Coconino County Jail District	1	0	50,414	50,414	3,730	0	767	0	0	0	0	54,911	38,000	44.50%
Sub-Total	1	0	50,414	50,414	3,730	0	767	0	0	-	0	54,911	38,000	
FORENSICS:														
AZ D.P.S.	4	6	675,107	675,107	50,370	0	6,300	0	0	20,000	0	751,777	516,000	45.69%
Phoenix P.D.	0	1	39,428	39,428	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,428	-	100.00%
Tucson P.D.	1	0	94,169	94,169	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94,169	54,000	74.39%
Sub-Total	5	7	808,704	808,704	50,370	0	6,300	0	0	20,000	0	885,374	570,000	
ADJUDICATION:														
A.O.C.	40	14	2,507,428	2,507,428	15,217	5,441	0	0	0	139,186	70,728	2,738,000	2,738,000	0.00%
TOTAL REQUESTED - Cycle 20	215	30	15,457,323	15,457,323	766,160	5,441	71,257	53,839	128,000	539,914	87,743	17,109,677	13,193,240	

EDWARD BYRNE DRUG, GANG & VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL GRANT PROPOSAL - CYCLE 20

TABLE #3														
Applicant Agency:	FTE	tions PTE	Salary & Fringe Benefits	Overtime Expense	Total Personnel Costs	Consult./ Con- tractual	In State Travel	Out of State Travel	Confi- dential Funds	Operating Expense	Equipt.	Total Cycle 20 Proposed	Total Cyle 19 Awards or req	% of Incr. or Decr.
APPREHENSION:		–	20.10.110		000.0						qp	Поросоц		200
Apache S.O. (ACCENT)	4	0	265,500	0	265,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	265,500	295,000	-10%
Cochise County S.O. (BAG)	1	0	30,459	141.000	171,459	0	0	0	32,141	7.500	0	211,100	· · · · · ·	-10%
Flagstaff P.D. (NAZ METRO)	4	0	277,100	0	277,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	277,100	· · · · · ·	-15%
Gila County S.O.	5	0	315,400	0	315,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	315,400	371,000	-15%
Graham County S.O.	0	0	0	27,200	27,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,200	32,000	-15%
Greenlee County S.D.	0	0	0	29,600	29,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,600	37,000	-20%
Kingman P.D. (MAGNET)	5	0	369,600	0	369,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	369,600	388,000	-5%
La Paz S.O.	3	0	127,800	0	127,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	127,800	142,000	-10%
Maricopa County S.O. (MCNET)	5	0	370,800	0	370,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	370,800	412,000	-10%
Navajo County S.O.	4	0	252,000	0	252,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	252,000	280,000	-10%
Phoenix P.D. *	0	5	230,824	70,208	301,032	0	0	7,398	0	570	0	309,000	325,087	-5%
Pinal County S.O.*	3	0	176,289	0	176,289	0	0	0	15,000	40,167	0	236,835	236,835	0%
Santa Cruz S.O.	4	0	174,684	31,900	206,584	0	0	0	1,000	41,176	0	248,760	248,760	0%
Sedona P.D.(PANT)	8	0	402,900	0	402,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	402,900	474,000	-15%
Tucson P.D. (CNA)	13	0	894,000	0	894,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	894,000	894,000	0%
Yuma S.O.	4	0	226,100	0	226,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	226,100	237,363	-5%
Sub-Total	63	5	4,113,456	299,908	4,413,364	0	0	7,398	48,141	89,413	0	4,563,695	4,933,525	
PROSECUTION:														
Apache C.A.	0	2	75,800	0	75,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	75,800	101,000	-25%
AZ A.G.	13	0	819,200	0	819,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	819,200	1,024,000	-20%
Cochise C.A.	2	0	139,000	0	139,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	139,000	185,000	-25%
Coconino C.A.	2	0	116,200	0	116,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	116,200	166,000	-30%
Gila C.A.	1	0	57,000	0	57,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	57,000	76,000	-25%
La Paz C.A.	1	0	68,000	0	68,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	68,000	85,000	-20%
Maricopa C.A.	16	0	1,535,000	0	1,535,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,535,000	1,615,000	-5%
Mohave C.A.	3	0	200,000	0	200,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	200,000	235,000	-15%
Navajo C.A.	2	0	106,400	0	106,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	106,400		-20%
Pima C.A.	6	0	558,000	0	558,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	558,000	558,000	0%
Pinal C.A.	2	0	174,400	0	174,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	174,400	218,000	-20%
Santa Cruz C.A.	1	0	43,500	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	43,500		-25%
Tucson C.P.	3	1	310,400	0	,	0	0	0	0	0	0	310,400		-20%
Yavapai C.A.	1	0	68,000	0	68,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	68,000	84,957	-20%
Yuma C.A.	5	0	317,700	0	317,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	317,700	353,000	-10%
Sub-Total	58	3	4,588,600	0	4,588,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,588,600	5,279,957	

EDWARD BYRNE DRUG, GANG & VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL GRANT PROPOSAL - CYCLE 20

TABLE #3														
	Posi	tions	Salary		Total	Consult./	ln -	Out	Confi-			Total	Total	% of
Applicant		1	& Fringe	Overtime	Personnel	Con-	State	of State	dential	Operating		Cycle 20	Cyle 19	Incr. or
Agency:	FTE	PTE	Benefits	Expense	Costs	tractual	Travel	Travel	Funds	Expense	Equipt.	Proposed	Awards or req	Decr.
DETENTION	•					•								
Coconino County Jail District	0	1	28,500	0	28,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,500	38,000	-25%
Sub-Total	0	1	28,500	0	28,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	28,500	38,000	
FORENSICS														
AZ DPS	4	5	490,200	0	490,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	490,200	516,000	-5%
Phoenix P.D.	0	1	29,570	0	29,570	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,570	39,428	-25%
Tucson P.D.	0	1	48,600	0	48,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	48,600	54,000	-10%
Sub-Total	4	7	568,370	0	568,370	0	0	0	0	0	0	568,370	609,428	
ADJUDICATION														
AZ AOC	40	10	0	0	2,292,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,292,390	2,738,000	-16%
	105								10.111	00 110		10.011.555	40.500.040	
TOTAL PROPOSED Cycle 20	165	26	9,298,926	299,908	11,891,224	-	-	7,398	48,141	89,413	-	12,041,555	13,598,910	

V

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	Allocation and award of RSAT Grants for FY 2007		

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Kathy Karam, Program Manager

Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission award \$491,920 in federal and local cash match funds for FY 2007 as extensions to current Residential Substance Abuse Treatment recipients for one year ending June 30, 2007.

DISCUSSION:

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission. See attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

2007 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Awards

On March 3, 2006 the Commission solicited applications from criminal justice agencies throughout Arizona to apply for funding under the Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) for the 12-month period beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007. The deadline for the applications was Friday, April 14, 2006. Applications were received requesting a total of \$1,554,390 in RSAT Programs (6-12 months of treatment), and in post-release treatment program funds formerly known as after-release. No jail-based (3+ months of treatment) applications were received.

All applicants are current grant recipients. Ten (10) applications were received. Four (4) from the Department of Corrections, four (4) Department of Juvenile Corrections, and two (2) from Maricopa County Sheriff's Office.

In FFY06 RSAT program size was reduced due to a reduction in federal funds, total funds available for FY 07 including 25% match is \$491,920. These funds are not enough to continue funding all programs.

After numerous conversations with applicants about continued funding, staff proposes extensions to residential programs only. These programs are due to expire on June 30, 2006 and would be extended for an additional year with funding as shown in TABLE #1. Extending the current agreements will alleviate the administrative burden to some agencies in processing these documents. The applicant agencies may choose to fund one or more residential programs if they wish depending on need. Staff will be working with the applicants on their choice.

TABLE # 1

Applicant Agency	Program Name	FY 06 Awards	FY 07 Distribution	*Reallocated DOC	FY 07 Proposed Additional Awards
AZ DOC	Progressive Recovery	\$70,000			
AZ DOC	Men in Recovery	\$154,400			
AZ DOC	Women in Recovery	\$145,000			
AZ DOC TOTAL		\$369,400	\$169,237	(\$15,800)	\$153,437
		(34%)	(34%)		
AZ DOJC	Adobe Mountain	\$153,000			
AZ DOJC	Black Canyon	\$144,000			
AZ DOJC	Catalina Mountain	\$166,000			
AZ DOJC TOTAL		\$463,000	\$212,118	\$10,386	\$222,505
		(43%)	(43%)	(66%)	
MCSO	Alpha Program	\$241,335			
MCSO TOTAL		\$241,335	\$110,565	\$5,414	\$115,979
		(22%)	(22%)	(34%)	
TOTAL ALL		\$1,073,735	\$491,920	\$0	\$491,920

^{*}DOC will not expend all their FY06 grant funds prior to the end of the year. They may keep the funds and expend next year. The FY07 award has been reduced by this balance and reallocated to two other agencies.

The RSAT programs all have merit and are of value to the community. This proposal will allow the current grantees to continue operating their residential programs as long as possible based on their needs.

Staff proposes that the Committee recommend to the Commission to award \$491,920 federal, and cash match funds for FY 2007 in additional RSAT grant awards to residential programs as extensions to current agreements for one year ending June 30, 2007, as presented in TABLE #1.

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	Allocation and award of Special Prosecution Grants for FY 2007		

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Kathy Karam, Program Manager

Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission award \$391,000 in FY 2007 Special Prosecution awards for grants beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007 and presented in Table #2.

DISCUSSION:

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission. See attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

2007 Special Prosecution Awards

The Legislature is expected to appropriate \$994,200 in lapsing funds to the Commission of which \$391,000 will continue funding the Aggravated Domestic Violence, Obscenity Prosecution, and Sexual Exploitation of Children Investigation and Prosecution grant programs. Based on that assumption, on March 31, 2006 the Commission solicited applications from potential eligible criminal justice agencies to apply for FY 2007 funding, with a deadline of May 1, 2006.

Seven applications were received, six from County Attorney Offices and one from a Law Enforcement Agency. All seven are current grant recipients.

Table #1 shows how the request for funding was received:

- Aggravated Domestic Violence Prosecution projects requested \$225,639 (40.26%)
- Obscenity Prosecution projects requested \$177,070 (31.59%)
- Sexual Exploitation of Children Investigation/Prosecution projects requested \$157,779 (28.15%)

These requests totaled \$560,488 or 43.35% more than funds available.

Pooling the money allowed more flexibility in awarding the grant funds based on need. Table #2 shows the staff proposal for awarding the \$391,000 available for these programs:

- Aggravated Domestic Violence Prosecution projects \$149,028 (38.11%)
- Obscenity Prosecution project \$155,972 (39.89%)
- Sexual Exploitation of Children Investigation/Prosecution projects \$86,000 (21.99%)

A total of 6,266 case filings for the Special Prosecution projects were reported by the applicants. The largest number of filings was for Aggravated Domestic Violence (3 applicants) at 62.61%. Although arrest data for Domestic Violence indicate a downward trend, Maricopa County's 2004 data shows the arrest rate is 1.09 per 10K population; Pima County is 2.2 and Yuma County at 2.6. Sexual Exploitation of Children combined filings and investigations from the Pima County Attorney and Sheriff's Office is at 26.04% and Obscenity filings at 1.36% from the Maricopa County Attorney, the only applicant requesting funds in this category.

Staff proposes that the Committee recommend to the Commission to award \$391,000 in FY 2007 Special Prosecution awards (if available) for grants beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007, as presented in Table #2.

FY - 2007 SPECIAL PROSECUTION GRANT APPLICATIONS JULY 1, 2006 - JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE #1

GRANT FUNDING REQUESTED:

	FTE Posi-	PTE Posi-	Personnel and	Overtime	Consult./	In-State	Out-of- State	Operating		TOTAL FY 2007	FY 2006	% difference req.
Applicant Agency	tions	tions	ERE	Expense	tractual	Travel	Travel	Expense	Equipment	REQUESTED	Awards	vs. 06 award
Aggravated Domestic Violence												
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	1	0	47,028	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$47,028	\$37,470	25.51%
Pima County Attorney's Office	2	0	112,763	0	10,500	0	0	0	0	\$123,263	\$10,750	1046.63%
Yuma County Attorney's Office	1	0	55,348	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$55,348	\$17,780	100%
										\$225,639		
Obscenity												
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	2	1	177,070	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$177,070	\$195,966	-9.64%
Pima County Attorney	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$54,034	100%
Sexual Exploitation of Children (Requires	 a 400% mat	 :ch on state	 e dollars									
Pima County Attorney's Office	1	0	61,278	0	48,501	0	0	5,500	5,000	\$120,279	\$37,500	220.74%
Pima County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	8,000	0	0	0	11,288	18,212	\$37,500	\$37,500	0.00%
										\$157,779		
TOTAL	7	1	453,487	\$8,000	\$59,001	\$0	\$0	\$16,788	\$23,212	\$560,488	\$391,000	43.35%

TABLE #2

GRANT FUNDING PROPOSED:

	FTE	PTE	Personnel		Consult./		Out-of-			TOTAL		% change
	Posi-	Posi-	and	Overtime	Con-	In-State	State	Operating		FY 2007	FY 2006	FY 06 vs 07
Applicant Agency	tions	tions	ERE	Expense	tractual	Travel	Travel	Expense	Equipment	PROPOSED	Awards	awrd or req & prop
Aggravated Domestic Violence												
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	1	0	47,028	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$47,028	\$37,470	25.51%
Pima County Attorney's Office	1	0	54,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$54,000	\$10,750	402.33%
Yuma County Attorney's Office	1	0	48,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$48,000	\$17,780	169.97%
										\$149,028	\$66,000	
								Percent of Fi	unds Used:	38.11%	16.88%	
Obscenity												
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	1	2	155,972	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$155,972	\$195,966	-11.92%
											\$250,000	
								Percent of Fi	unds Used:	39.89%	63.94%	
Sexual Exploitation of Children (Requires a	a 400% mat	ch on state	dollars									
Pima County Attorney's Office	1	1	48,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$48,500	\$37,500	29.33%
Pima County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	8,000	0	0	0	11,288	18,212	\$37,500	\$37,500	0.00%
										\$86,000	\$75,000	
								Percent of Fi	unds Used:	21.99%	19.18%	
TOTAL	5	3	353,500	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,288	\$18,212	\$391,000	\$391,000	0.00%

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	Allocation and award Gang Prosecution Grant Awards for FY 2007

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Kathy Karam, Program Manager

Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission award \$603,200 in FY 2007 Gang Prosecution awards for grants beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007 and presented in Table #2.

DISCUSSION:

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission. See attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

2007 Gang Prosecution Awards

The Legislature is expected to appropriate \$994,200 in lapsing funds to the Commission of which \$603,200 will continue funding the Gang Prosecution grant program. Based on that assumption, on March 31, 2006 the Commission solicited applications from potential eligible criminal justice agencies to apply for FY 2007 funding, with a deadline of May 1, 2006.

Five applications were received from County Attorney Offices. All five are current grant recipients.

Table #1 shows these requests totaled \$1,075,426 or 86.69% more than funds available. Cochise County submitted an application without a funding request or data justifying a gang problem.

Table #2 shows the staff proposal for awarding the \$603,200 available for this program. This proposal allows those who have demonstrated gang problems within their communities to use the funds for the purpose of gang prosecution. In addition to personnel expenses for prosecutors, staff recommends funding a computer for Mohave County for their gang attorney to keep track of gang cases generated by the future GITEM task force.

A total of 1,761 case filings for Gang Prosecution were reported by four applicants. The largest at 91% was the Maricopa County Attorney's Office, 6% by Pima County Attorney's Office, 3% by Mohave County Attorney and 1 % from the Attorney General's Office. The Attorney General's Office is responsible for large scale investigations associated with interstate gang activity, providing legal advice, support and training for local law enforcement and prosecuting attorneys.

Staff proposes that the Committee recommend to the Commission to award \$603,200 in FY 2007 Gang Prosecution awards (if available) for grants beginning July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007, as presented in Table #2.

FY - 2007 GANG PROSECUTION GRANT APPLICATIONS JULY 1, 2006 - JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE #1

GRANT FUNDING REQUESTED:

Applicant Agency	FTE Posi- tions	PTE Posi- tions	Personnel and ERE	Overtime Expense	Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of- State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	TOTAL FY 2007 REQUESTED	FY 2006 Awards	% difference req vs. 06 award
Attorney General's Office	1	2	187,287	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$187,287	\$105,138	100%
Cochise County Attorney's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$3,016	-100.00%
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	5	0	374,566	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$374,566	\$316,438	18.37%
Mohave County Attorney's Office	2	0	113,702	0	0	0	0	0	2,300	\$116,002	\$3,016	3746.22%
Pima County Attorney's Office	6	0	397,571	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$397,571	\$148,448	167.82%
											-	
TOTAL	14	2	\$1,073,126	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,300	\$1,075,426	\$576,056	86.69%

Cochise County Attorney submitted an application without funding and justification of the problem.

\$ 603,200 Available

TABLE #2

GRANT FUNDING PROPOSED:

Applicant Agency	FTE Posi- tions	PTE Posi- tions	Personnel and ERE	Overtime Expense	Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of- State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	TOTAL FY 2007 PROPOSED	FY 2006 Awards	% change FY 06 vs. 07 award & prop
Attorney General's Office	1	0	111,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$111,000	\$105,138	5.58%
Cochise County Attorney's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$3,016	-100.00%
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	4	1	334,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$334,200	\$316,438	5.61%
Mohave County Attorney's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,300	\$1,300	\$3,016	-56.90%
Pima County Attorney's Office	2	0	156,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$156,700	\$148,448	5.56%
TOTAL	7	1	\$601,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,300	\$603,200	\$576,056	4.71%



Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2005	☐ Formal Action/Motion☐ Information Only☐ Other	Crime Victim Compensation Program Eligibility		
TO: Chairperson a	and Commission Members			

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victim Services

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only.

DISCUSSION:

In January 2005, the Criminal Justice Commission approved several rule changes to the compensation program, as part of our five-year administrative rules review required by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council. One of the proposed rules excluded those here illegally from receiving compensation money. A decision on this rule was tabled pending guidance from the Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) as to whether federal law restricting public benefits to those here illegally applied to victim compensation benefits. After a second letter requesting guidance went unanswered by OVC, the Commission, at the July 2005 meeting, directed staff to request legal advice from the Arizona Attorney General's (AG's) Office. Once the advice has been received, staff will open a rulemaking docket as directed by the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A



Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program Designation of the Operational Units

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the designation of the operational units for the FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

DISCUSSION:

The Commission is required to annually designate one operational unit within each county to receive an allocation from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund for the Crime Victim Compensation Program. Currently, the County Attorney's Offices in each of the 15 counties are the designated operational units. Staff proposes to continue the current designation of each of the County Attorney's Offices. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Administration of \$2,300,000 in state Crime Victim Compensation funds and a projected \$1,185,000 in federal VOCA Compensation funds.

ALTERNATIVES:

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program Budget		

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the budget for FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$2,300,000 and expended in accordance with the attached budget, with \$50,000 retained in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102, D.

DISCUSSION:

Based on revenue projections and the approved appropriation for FY07, staff proposes the program be set at \$2,300,000 with expenditures made in accordance with the attached budget. This represents a 14.8% decrease from FY06. The drop is due to a significant decrease in unclaimed victim restitution monies deposited for the program. Program Rule R10-4-102, D requires the Commission to reserve \$50,000 to be used in the event of an unforeseen increase in victimization. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Expenditure of \$2,300,000 in state Crime Victim Compensation Funds.

ALTERNATIVES:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM **FY07 PROGRAM BUDGET** FY06 BEGINNING BALANCE 869,927 FY06 PROJECTED REVENUE **CJFF** \$ 1,833,387 **DOC Inmate Work Fees** 110,000 **Unclaimed Restitution** \$ 65,000 REVERSIONS RECEIVED \$ 104,626 FY06 TOTAL AVAILABLE \$ 2,982,940 FY06 PROJECTED PROGRAM EXPENDITURES 2,700,000 FY06 PROJECTED ENDING BALANCE \$ 282,940 FY07 PROJECTED REVENUE **CJEF** 1,943,390 **DOC Inmate Work Fees** 110,000 **Unclaimed Restitution** 65,000 FY07 ESTIMATED AVAILABLE 2,401,330 FY07 PROJECTED PROGRAM SIZE 2,300,000 FY07 PROJECTED ENDING BALANCE \$ 101,330

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program Allocations		

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the allocation of \$2,250,000 in state Crime Victim Compensation funds to the operational units for the FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

DISCUSSION:

The attached chart reflects the dollar amounts proposed for allocation to each operational unit in the 15 counties. The formula reflects a base allotment of \$12,000 to each county with the balance distributed by population. This formula was approved by the Commission in 2001. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of \$2,250,000 in state Crime Victim Compensation funds to victims of crime in FY07.

ALTERNATIVES:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM FY 2007 PROPOSED STATE CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION ALLOCATION \$2,300,000 PROGRAM

COUNTY	POPULATION	% POPULATION	BASE ALLOTMENT	POPULATION ALLOTMENT	TOTAL ALLOTMENT	% OF TOTAL ALLOTMENT	17% ADMIN	MONTHLY ALLOTMENT
APACHE	73,775	1.22%	\$12,000	\$25,263	\$37,263	1.66%	\$6,335	\$3,105
COCHISE	131,790	2.18%	\$12,000	\$45,129	\$57,129	2.54%	\$9,712	\$4,761
COCONINO	130,530	2.16%	\$12,000	\$44,698	\$56,698	2.52%	\$9,639	\$4,725
GILA	54,445	0.90%	\$12,000	\$18,644	\$30,644	1.36%	\$5,209	\$2,554
GRAHAM	35,455	0.59%	\$12,000	\$12,141	\$24,141	1.07%	\$4,104	\$2,012
GREENLEE	8,300	0.14%	\$12,000	\$2,842	\$14,842	0.66%	\$2,523	\$1,237
LA PAZ	21,190	0.35%	\$12,000	\$7,256	\$19,256	0.86%	\$3,274	\$1,605
MARICOPA	3,648,545	60.36%	\$12,000	\$1,249,381	\$1,261,381	56.06%	\$214,434	\$105,115
MOHAVE	188,035	3.11%	\$12,000	\$64,389	\$76,389	3.40%	\$12,986	\$6,366
NAVAJO	109,985	1.82%	\$12,000	\$37,662	\$49,662	2.21%	\$8,443	\$4,139
PIMA	957,635	15.84%	\$12,000	\$327,925	\$339,925	15.11%	\$57,787	\$28,327
PINAL	246,660	4.08%	\$12,000	\$84,464	\$96,464	4.29%	\$16,399	\$8,039
SANTA CRUZ	44,055	0.73%	\$12,000	\$15,086	\$27,086	1.20%	\$4,605	\$2,257
YAVAPAI	205,105	3.39%	\$12,000	\$70,235	\$82,235	3.65%	\$13,980	\$6,853
YUMA	189,480	3.13%	\$12,000	\$64,884	\$76,884	3.42%	\$13,070	\$6,407
TOTAL	6,044,985	100.00%	\$180,000	\$2,070,000	\$2,250,000	100%	\$382,500	\$187,500

Note: Total budget is \$2,300,000 with \$50,000 held in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D

Source: Population Statistics Unit, Research Administration, DES, July 1, 2005



Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:		
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Compensation Redistribution		

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the redistribution of unexpended FY06 state Crime Victim Compensation funds.

DISCUSSION:

In 1999, the Commission approved the Redistribution of Funds Policy for the distribution of unexpended state and federal funds to eligible operational units. Counties that did not revert state Crime Victim Compensation funds from the prior year and have a cash balance in their restitution account less than one month's allocation (based on the most current quarterly financial report) are eligible for the state fund redistribution. The attached chart reflects the eligible counties and the proposed allocation. These funds expire June 30, 2006 and must be expended by the operational unit by that date. Counties must use these monies on eligible victim compensation claims and not for administrative expenses. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Moderate – Distribution of between \$16,800 and \$27,768 of FY 2006 state Crime Victim Compensation funds to victims of crime.

ALTERNATIVES:

County		Y 05 ACJC Reversion	Y 05 VOCA Reversion	/ 06 3rd Qtr. stitution Bal.	AC	Monthly JC Allotment	Qualify Under Redist. Policy	S	Unspent tate Funds	Proposed distribution
Apache	\$	3,389.98	\$ 174.01	\$ 21,377.50	\$	3,566.67	No	\$	-	
Cochise	\$	-	\$ 8,197.72	\$ 16,731.09	\$	5,691.67	No	\$	11,384.00	
Coconino	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 38,020.82	\$	5,666.67	No	\$	-	
Gila	\$	18,482.96	\$ 1,036.10	\$ 9,246.21	\$	2,950.00	No	\$	-	
Graham	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 10,625.93	\$	2,300.00	No	\$	-	
Greenlee	\$	11,718.76	\$ 2,892.00	\$ 2,755.18	\$	1,300.00	No	\$	-	
La Paz	\$	13,901.19	\$ 5,600.07	\$ 15,079.97	\$	1,758.33	No	\$	-	
Maricopa	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 256,592.64	\$	127,891.67	No	\$	-	
Mohave	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 6,505.82	\$	7,491.67	Yes	\$	-	\$ 16,800.00
Navajo	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 41,309.82	\$	4,866.67	No	\$	-	
Pima	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 47,337.83	\$	34,533.33	No	\$	-	
Pinal	\$	24,789.96	\$ -	\$ 25,920.89	\$	8,858.33	No	\$	8,859.00	
Santa Cruz	\$	27,859.66	\$ 9,624.00	\$ 3,788.22	\$	2,508.33	No	\$	7,525.00	
Yavapai	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 80,766.33	\$	8,083.33	No	\$	-	
Yuma	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 71,787.78	\$	7,533.33	No	\$	-	
	\$	100,142.51	\$ 27,523.90	\$ 647,846.03	\$	225,000.00		\$	27,768.00	\$ 16,800.00
Redistribution	funds	available	\$ 27,768.00							
Less proposed redistribution		\$ 16,800.00								
Remaining fun	ds av	ailable	\$ 10,968.00							

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	☐ Formal Action/Motion☐ Information Only☐ Other	La Paz County and Santa Cruz County Crime Victim Assistance Grant Applications

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission consider the acceptance of the FY07 Crime Victim Assistance Grant applications from the La Paz County Attorney's Office and Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office.

DISCUSSION:

The La Paz County Attorney's Office and the Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office were unable to meet the grant application deadline. Attached are letters from both agencies requesting the Commission consider their FY07 Victim Assistance grant applications. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

A loss in Victim Assistance grant funding (and any accompanying match monies) may adversely affect victim services in each respective county. Each office employs one victim advocate who also administers the Crime Victim Compensation Program for the county.

ALTERNATIVES



Office of the La Paz County Attorney

1320 Kofa Avenue Parker, Arizona 85344-5724 (928) 669-6118 / Fax: (928) 669-2019 MARTIN BRANNAN COUNTY ATTORNEY

> MICHELE GÁMEZ SAM VEDERMAN GEOFFREY HELFER DEPUTES

GINA WOOD CRIME VICTIM ADVOCATE

> DIANE S. PHILPOT ADMINISTRATOR

> > FRANK HAWS INVESTIGATOR

April 13, 2006

J.T. McCann, Chairman Arizona Criminal Justice Commission 1110 West Washington, Suite 230 Phoenix, AZ 85007

To Chairman McCann:

The FY2007 Victim Assistance Grant Application was completed and submitted be email and telefacsimile to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission on March 17, 2006. Unfortunately, neither current Office Administrator Diane Philpot nor current Crime Victim Advocate Gina Wood was aware that the application was only to be submitted by mail or hand delivery.

Ms. Wood has been the office's Crime Victim Advocate since July 2005. Prior to my taking office in January 2005 and Ms. Wood's arrival, the position of Victim-Witness Coordinator, as the position was then titled, was held by five different people in less than two and one-half years. As each subsequent position holder rotated from the position, institutional memory was lost. Adding to this situation was the fact that Pam Vera, the last long-term Victim-Witness Coordinator, succumbed to a brain tumor and was unable to provide any training to her replacement. Ms. Wood has spent the better part of the last year creating a victim advocacy and compensation program essentially from scratch.

Ms. Philpot has been the office administrator for less than a year. She inherited an office that was in considerable disarray. Record keeping was nearly nonexistent and, due to the nature of her predecessor's departure, institutional memory was completely lost. Although Ms. Philpot had significant experience in dealing with grants and with ACJC, she was unaware that this grant, unlike the others that she has administered, could not be applied for by telefacsimile or email.

La Paz County is a relatively unique area. Year-round, La Paz County's population includes not only residents, but vacationers and winter visitors from other states and other parts of Arizona. This influx of vacationers in search of never ending parties on the Colorado River and elderly snowbirds who are easy prey for criminals along with a pandemic drug problem creates a higher number of crime victims than La Paz County's seemingly small full-time population would suggest.

Notwithstanding that the county attracts many visitors, attracting qualified victim advocates to work for the wages the county offers remains difficult. This has resulted in the high turnover experienced by La Paz County and the lack of institutional memory concerning such matters as the procedure to apply for this grant. Luckily, the county has attracted Ms. Wood to this position and she has brought to it a level of enthusiasm and professionalism that should result in this mistake not recurring.

The people of La Paz County, vacationers and winter visitors included, continue to need the services that the Crime Victim Advocate provides. Without the funding that this grant provides, La Paz County is simply unable to provide such services.

La Paz County and this office are grateful for the assistance that is provided to us through the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission. Please consider this request and place the item to review the FY2007 Victim Assistance Grant on your agenda for the May 2006 Commission meeting.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Martin Brannan
La Paz County Attorney

cc: John Blackburn, Jr. Executive Director

OFFICE OF THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY ATTORNEY

GEORGE E. SILVA County Attorney

April 12, 2006



Santa Cruz County Complex 2150 N. Congress Drive, Suite 201 Nogales, Arizona 85621 (520) 375-7780 FAX (520) 375-7793

J.T. McCann Commission Chairman Crime Victim Services Committee Arizona Criminal Justice Commission 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. McCann,

The Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office has been a proud participant of the Crime Victim Assistance Program for many years. The funding received from the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission has allowed our office to provide a wide array of services to victims of crime in our community. It has also allowed us to bridge the gap between our office and law enforcement. Law enforcement work hand in hand with our office to inform the community of the services we offer and to advise our office when services are needed.

Over the years, the funds received from ACJC have been put to good use. They allow my office to employ a full-time Victim Service Coordinator who administers the grant funds and ensures that victims get the services they are entitled to receive. He also works closely with members of the Crime Victim Compensation Board and prepares all applications reviewed by the Board. The Victim Service Coordinator is also tasked with community outreach and education and with compliance of all reporting requirements.

On March 17, 2006, our office failed to meet the 3:00 pm deadline for the submission of the FY 2007 Victim Assistance Grant application. The Victim Services Coordinator sent the application by Federal Express on March 17, 2006 at 12:30 pm, and a volunteer faxed a copy of the application to ACJC at approximately 9:30 am. Unfortunately, the Federal Express did not deliver the application to ACJC until Monday, March 20 at 8:00 am. Moreover, the faxed copy of the application was placed face up on our fax instead of face down, and ACJC received 19 blank pages instead of the application. Our office was under the mistaken belief that so long as we sent the application to ACJC by 3:00 pm on March 17, we would not miss the deadline.

Upon review of the Grant Program Announcement and the Instructions for the Crime Victim Assistance Program, it is now clear to me and my office that the application was to be received at the Commission Office no later than 3:00 pm on Friday, March 17, 2006. I WAS WRONG. It is also now clear to me and my office that faxed applications are not accepted. AGAIN, I WAS WRONG. I should have reviewed the Grant Program Announcement and Instructions prior to the deadline. Instead, I relied too much on my Victim Service Coordinator, who is overworked and underpaid, for the preparation of this grant.

From now on, I will review all grant announcements and instructions to ensure we are aware of all deadlines and more importantly that we meet all deadlines. I will also require the Victim Service Coordinator to attend computer classes to familiarize him with the computer and the internet. He has been having difficulty understanding the process of downloading information from the internet. Moreover, I will ask Tony Vidale, Crime Victim Services Program Manager to place me on his e-mail list for all important announcements dealing with this particular grant.

I should have known about the deadline requirements. It is very clear from the instructions what you expect from us. This will not happen again. I extend my sincere apologies to you and the Commission for my mistake. I realize that my mistake will cause unnecessary work for you and the Commission. I also realize that my mistake has jeopardized services for the victims of Santa Cruz County. I respectfully request to be on the agenda for the May commission meeting. I hope to address the commission and ask them to reconsider my FY2007 Victim Assistance Grant application. My office has worked diligently and tirelessly to give victims in Santa Cruz County a voice and the best support possible. Your assistance has made such efforts possible. My office and the citizens of Santa Cruz County are indebted to you and the Commission for your support.

If you need additional information, please do not hesitate to call me. I look forward to hearing from you soon. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

George E. Silva

Santa Cruz County Attorney

Cc: John Blackburn, Jr.

Executive Director

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards – Criminal Justice Govt. Agencies

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of FY07 Crime Victim Assistance funds under Option 1 or Option 2 to the criminal justice government agencies which are eligible to serve on the Commission.

DISCUSSION:

Twenty criminal justice government agencies applied for grant funding and requested a total of \$1,013,519 in Crime Victim Assistance funds for FY07. A.R.S. § 41-2407 (B) provides that no more than 50% of Crime Victim Assistance Funds be allocated to criminal justice government agencies specified in A.R.S. § 41-2404 (A) and (B). The maximum amount available for distribution to this category of applicants is \$450,000. The proposal reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided, beginning on page 41, summarizing the Victim Assistance Program, evaluation process and proposed funding options. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of up to \$450,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds for FY07 to criminal justice government agencies.

ALTERNATIVES

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 25, 2006	Formal Action/Motion Information Only Other	FY07 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards – Non-Profit and Other Govt. Agencies

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager

Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of FY07 Crime Victim Assistance funds under Option 1 or Option 2 to the non-profit organizations and other governmental agencies not represented on the Commission.

DISCUSSION:

Twenty-eight non-profit organizations and other governmental agencies not represented on the Commission applied for grant funding, requesting a total of \$734,240 in Crime Victim Assistance Funds for FY07. At least 50% is to be allocated to other government agencies and non-profit organizations. The proposal reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative, provided on the next page summarizes the Victim Assistance Program, evaluation process and proposed funding options. The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of at least \$450,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds in FY07 to non-profit organizations and other governmental agencies.

ALTERNATIVES

Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program

The Crime Victim Assistance Program is established to provide victim assistance services to crime victims in the State of Arizona. In accordance with Crime Victim Assistance Program Rule R10-4-204, services eligible to receive funding are:

- Crisis Intervention
- Information and Referral
- Emergency Services
- Support Services
- Court Related Direct Services
- Temporary Protection Services
- Training
- Printing

These services are eligible for funding throughout the State of Arizona.

Services or expenses ineligible to receive funding are:

- Crime prevention efforts other than those aimed at providing specific emergency help after a victimization;
- General public relations programs;
- Advocacy for particular legislative or administrative reforms;
- General criminal justice agency improvements;
- Programs in which crime victims are not the primary beneficiary;
- Management training and training for persons not providing direct services to victims;
- Victim compensation provided under A.A.C. R10-4-101 through R10-4-108; and
- Homeless shelters

On February 3, 2006, non-profit and government agencies throughout the state were notified via electronic mail announcing the availability of the FY07 Crime Victim Assistance Program grant and that the applications were available on the ACJC web site. The deadline for return of the applications was Friday, March 17, 2006 at 3:00 p.m. Forty-eight applications were submitted requesting a total of \$1,747,759. Of this amount, 20 Criminal Justice Government programs requested \$1,013,519 and 28 Non-profit and Other Government programs requested \$734,240. The amount of funds requested far exceeded the \$900,000 available.

The applications were evaluated pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-2702. Applications were reviewed and scored by two ACJC staff members and a program manager from the Governor's Office. Scoring was based on the Crime Victim Assistance Program Rules and criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. Applicants had to score a minimum of 70 points to be considered for grant funding; however, meeting the minimum score does not ensure an award due to the limited funding available. Of the 48 applications submitted, 46 met the minimum score.

The applications were evaluated using the following scoring criteria:

- Services to be provided are eligible under Crime Victim Assistance Rules;
- The completeness of the application, all requested information was provided;
- Assistance in obtaining victim compensation;
- Use of volunteers in the program;
- New programs demonstrate a need for services that are not currently being met;

- Collaboration efforts of the program with other agencies;
- Goals and objectives are reasonable and achievable;
- Performance measures clearly identify the success of the program;
- Budget costs are reasonable and allowable (construction projects and land acquisitions are prohibited);
- Financial support is available to the program to match grant funds;
- Existing programs demonstrate success; and
- Programs previously funded by the Commission have met the requirements of the grant

Also considered were those applications that met the following funding priorities established by the Commission:

- Providing unduplicated services within 35 miles;
- Providing multiple services to a diverse range of crime victims;
- Demonstrate the highest ratio of Advocates to Victims Served;
- Demonstrate the highest ratio of Victims to Services Provided;
- Counties with the highest crime rate per capita;
- Demonstrate clear goals and objectives emphasizing direct services to victims;
- Demonstrate strong collaborative effort with law enforcement, prosecution, service providers, community organizations, and social service agencies; and
- Assist victims in filing victim compensation claims

The Commission staff is making a recommendation to the Crime Victims Committee which includes two proposals for consideration. Staff has provided two proposed allocation plans for review depending on whether two late applications are considered for funding. *Option 1* (Table 1), on page 22, includes funding allocations for Criminal Justice Government programs and Non-profit and Other Government programs in the event the two late applications are not considered. *Option 2* (Table 1A), on page 23, includes funding allocations for Criminal Justice Government programs and Non-profit and Other Government programs in the event the two late applications are considered. Each table summarizes the FY06 grant awards and FTE Positions, FY07 grant requests, and FY07 proposed awards and FTE Positions for both Criminal Justice Government Programs and Non-Profit and Other Government Programs.

Table 1 - Summary of FY 2006 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards - Option 1

CRIMI	INAL JUSTICE GO	VERNMENT	PROGRAMS		
PROGRAM	FY06 Grant Awards	FY06 Funded FTE Positions	FY07 Grant Request	FY07 Proposed Grant Awards	FY07 Proposed FTE Positions
1 Apache County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	68,254	19,600	0.5
2 Arizona Attorney General's Office	52,700	1.5	83,560	52,700	1.5
3 Chandler Police Department	0	0.0	30,201	11,400	0.3
4 Cochise County Attorney's Office	20,100	0.5	20,075	20,000	0.5
5 Gila County Attorney's Office	19,600	1.0	19,958	19,600	1.0
6 Glendale Police Department	0	0.0	19,500	0	0.0
7 Goodyear Police Department	0	0.0	24,826	10,200	0.3
8 Graham County Attorney's Office	19,580	0.5	19,573	19,500	0.5
9 Greenlee County Attorney's Office	10,000	0.5	11,205	10,000	0.5
10 La Paz County Attorney's Office	12,670	0.5	44,627	0	0.0
11 Maricopa County Attorney's Office	106,366	2.5	128,006	106,300	2.5
12 Maricopa County Sheriff's Office	0	0.0	141,026	0	0.0
13 Mohave County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	33,967	19,600	0.5
14 Navajo County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	22,260	19,600	0.5
15 Pima County Attorney's Office	66,500	2.0	78,489	66,500	2.0
16 Pinal County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	146,456	19,600	0.5
17 Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	12,700	0.5	59,525	0	0.0
18 Surprise Police Department	11,700	0.5	22,143	0	0.0
19 Yavapai County Attorney's Office	20,100	1.0	20,269	20,100	0.5
20 Yuma County Attorney's Office	19,584	0.5	19,599	19,500	1.0
Sub-Total	\$ 450,000	13.5	\$ 1,013,519	\$ 434,200	12.6

NON-PROFIT AND OTHER GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS								
PROGRAM	FY06 Grant Award	FY06 Funded FTE Positions	FY07 Grant Request	FY07 Proposed Grant Awards	FY07 Proposed FTE Positions			
21 Against Abuse, Inc.	8,800	0.5	27,650	15,500	0.5			
22 Brewster Center	23,800	1.0	37,683	24,700	1.0			
23 Bullhead City Attorney's Office	10,600	0.5	14,619	10,600	0.5			
24 Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	10,200	0.5	20,570	10,200	0.5			
25 Catholic Charities East Valley	0	0.0	19,659	0	0.0			
26 Chicanos por la Causa	0	0.0	26,570	12,500	0.5			
27 Children's Advocacy Center	20,800	0.5	32,136	20,800	0.5			
28 Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of DV	10,000	1.0	18,000	10,000	0.5			
29 Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter	10,000	1.0	16,000	10,000	0.5			
30 Homicide Survivors	19,763	1.0	19,998	19,900	1.0			
31 Kingman Aid to Abused People	10,000	0.5	19,650	10,000	0.5			
32 Las Familias	8,800	0.5	10,081	10,000	0.5			
33 Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	30,400	0.5	43,152	30,400	0.5			
34 Mt. Graham Safe House	10,000	0.5	0	0	0.0			
35 Northland Family Help Center	10,000	0.5	10,340	10,300	0.5			
36 Phoenix City Fire Dept	12,600	0.5	30,678	12,600	0.5			
37 Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	23,800	0.5	63,804	23,800	0.5			
38 Prehab of Arizona-Autumn House	30,400	1.0	32,000	30,400	1.0			
39 Prehab of Arizona-Faith House	19,000	0.5	32,000	19,000	0.5			
40 So AZ Center Against S. Aslt. (SACASA)	17,900	0.5	18,271	18,200	0.5			
41 So AZ Children's Advocacy Center	16,587	0.5	26,162	16,600	0.5			
42 Sojourner Center	22,600	0.5	28,604	22,600	0.5			
43 Tempe City Social Services-CARE 7	17,350	0.5	27,284	17,400	0.5			
44 Time Out	18,700	0.5	18,900	18,900	0.5			
45 Tucson Center for Women and Children	23,800	0.5	59,570	23,800	0.5			
46 V/W Services for Coconino County	23,900	0.5	32,119	24,800	0.5			
47 Verde Valley Sanctuary	18,700	0.5	15,019	18,700	0.5			
48 West Valley Child Crisis Center	0	0.0	8,677	0	0.0			
49 Wingspan	21,500	2.0	25,044	24,100	1.0			
Sub-Total Sub-Total	\$ 450,000	17.0	\$ 734,240	\$ 465,800	15.0			
TOTAL	\$ 900,000	30.5	\$1,747,759	\$ 900,000	27.6			

Table 1A - Summary of FY 2006 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards - Option 2

	CRIMINAL JUSTICE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS								
	PROGRAM	FY06 Grant Awards	FY06 Funded FTE Positions	FY07 Grant Request	FY07 Proposed Grant Awards	FY07 Proposed FTE Positions			
1	Apache County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	68,254	19,600	0.5			
2	Arizona Attorney General's Office	52,700	1.5	83,560	51,700	1.5			
3	Chandler Police Department	0	0.0	30,201	11,400	0.3			
4	Cochise County Attorney's Office	20,100	0.5	20,075	20,000	0.5			
5	Gila County Attorney's Office	19,600	1.0	19,958	19,600	1.0			
6	Glendale Police Department	0	0.0	19,500	0	0.0			
7	Goodyear Police Department	0	0.0	24,826	10,200	0.3			
8	Graham County Attorney's Office	19,580	0.5	19,573	19,500	0.5			
9	Greenlee County Attorney's Office	10,000	0.5	11,205	10,000	0.5			
10	La Paz County Attorney's Office	12,670	0.5	44,627	10,000	0.5			
11	Maricopa County Attorney's Office	106,366	2.5	128,006	104,300	2.5			
12	Maricopa County Sheriff's Office	0	0.0	141,026	0	0.0			
13	Mohave County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	33,967	19,600	0.5			
14	Navajo County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	22,260	19,600	0.5			
15	Pima County Attorney's Office	66,500	2.0	78,489	65,300	2.0			
16	Pinal County Attorney's Office	19,600	0.5	146,456	19,600	0.5			
17	Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	12,700	0.5	59,525	10,000	0.5			
18	Surprise Police Department	11,700	0.5	22,143	0	0.0			
19	Yavapai County Attorney's Office	20,100	1.0	20,269	20,100	1.0			
20	Yuma County Attorney's Office	19,584	0.5	19,599	19,500	0.5			
	Sub-Total	\$ 450,000	13.5	\$ 1,013,519	\$ 450,000	13.6			

NON-PROFIT AND OTHER GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS								
PROGRAM	FY06 Grant Award	FY06 Funded FTE Positions	FY07 Grant Request	FY07 Proposed Grant Awards	FY07 Proposed FTE Positions			
21 Against Abuse, Inc.	8,800	0.5	27,650	8,800	0.5			
22 Brewster Center	23,800	1.0	37,683	23,800	1.0			
23 Bullhead City Attorney's Office	10,600	0.5	14,619	10,600	0.5			
24 Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	10,200	0.5	20,570	10,200	0.5			
25 Catholic Charities East Valley	0	0.0	19,659	0	0.0			
26 Chicanos por la Causa	0	0.0	26,570	12,500	0.5			
27 Children's Advocacy Center	20,800	0.5	32,136	20,800	0.5			
28 Chrysalis Shelter for Victims of DV	10,000	1.0	18,000	10,000	0.5			
29 Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter	10,000	1.0	16,000	10,000	0.5			
30 Homicide Survivors	19,763	1.0	19,998	19,800	1.0			
31 Kingman Aid to Abused People	10,000	0.5	19,650	10,000	0.5			
32 Las Familias	8,800	0.5	10,081	8,800	0.5			
33 Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	30,400	0.5	43,152	29,100	0.5			
34 Mt. Graham Safe House	10,000	0.5	0	0	0.0			
35 Northland Family Help Center	10,000	0.5	10,340	10,000	0.5			
36 Phoenix City Fire Dept	12,600	0.5	30,678	12,600	0.5			
37 Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	23,800	0.5	63,804	23,800	0.5			
38 Prehab of Arizona-Autumn House	30,400	1.0	32,000	29,100	1.0			
39 Prehab of Arizona-Faith House	19,000	0.5	32,000	19,000	0.5			
40 So AZ Center Against S. Aslt. (SACASA)	17,900	0.5	18,271	17,900	0.5			
41 So AZ Children's Advocacy Center	16,587	0.5	26,162	16,600	0.5			
42 Sojourner Center	22,600	0.5	28,604	22,600	0.5			
43 Tempe City Social Services-CARE 7	17,350	0.5	27,284	17,400	0.5			
44 Time Out	18,700	0.5	18,900	18,700	0.5			
45 Tucson Center for Women and Children	23,800	0.5	59,570	23,800	0.5			
46 V/W Services for Coconino County	23,900	0.5	32,119	23,900	0.5			
47 Verde Valley Sanctuary	18,700	0.5	15,019	18,700	0.5			
48 West Valley Child Crisis Center	0	0.0	8,677	0	0.0			
49 Wingspan	21,500	2.0	25,044	21,500	1.0			
Sub-Total	\$ 450,000	17.0	\$ 734,240	\$ 450,000	15.0			
TOTAL	\$ 900,000	30.5	\$1,747,759	\$ 900,000	28.6			